This Peer Evaluation Form is confidential. It will not be made available to any other group member. We would like you to reflect on the actual behaviours of all group members during the engineering design project.

Please give your overall assessment of all other group members' contribution by rank-ordering them according to their contribution to the project quality and to the functioning of the group. Assess the contribution of each member to the group project by awarding **a total mark of 100.** Note: Do **NOT include yourself** in the assessment below!

Indicate each member’s behaviour by assessing activities such as: Attended group meetings • Punctuality • Planned activities • Assumed leadership role • Takes initiative • Participated in discussions • Contributed research/data • Contributed ideas • Creativity • Provided technical expert skills • Contributed time • Developed key parts of report • Quality of Work • Worked to full potential • Worked without prodding • Followed through on tasks • Dependability • Reliable in doing work • Offered constructive criticism • Showed "good citizenship" • Helped others • Integrated different positions • Encouraged others to participate • Good/bad listener • Seeked evidence to support positions • Differentiated different positions • Behaved cooperatively • Behaved respectfully to others • Honesty • Contributed energy and enthusiasm • etc.

This may be done in combination with a time characterisation of the activities displayed such as Never, Rarely, Usually, Always, etc.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Your Name: Edward Street | Your Group: L2AHTB1 – Electric Skateboard |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Group member  and rank order | Each person’s contribution  (∑ = 100) | Please include some behaviourally oriented feedback that can be used as indication of team contribution of the group member in question using the example activities above. |
| 1.  Hugo McCartney | 30 | Volunteered to do the compiled writeup as well as his individual section research, was always punctual to meetings, gave excellent ideas and dedicated the most effort overall |
| 2.  Jacob Black | 22 | Was punctual, cooperative, and helped out others in their responsible sections by giving ideas and supporting them in their problems throughout their analysis. He was responsible with providing requested content for his responsible section, as well as showing understanding |
| 3.  Haydn Lisk | 20 | Provided the most content from research and demonstrated a high level of technical intelligence in his responsible section. He was punctual, and shared the most amount of ideas in meetings, however occasionally seemed to come to early conclusions by shutting down others’ ideas |
| 4.  Sam Sutcliffe | 20 | Provided technical expertise with online collaborative media and the use of LaTeX which was crucial to do group work online. He had sufficient knowledge and expertise in his section, and provided excellent analysis in his report, however, was not the most punctual member |
| 5.  Toby Ashton | 8 | Volunteered to take part the PM role, however performed most poorly not only as the manager but as a team member. He missed several meeting times, often did not respond to texts and provided the least amount of information and ideas. He lacked management and structural coordination in contrary to what was expected from all other team members. |

The total sum of the contributions must be equal to 100

(otherwise the sum will be normalised to 100).